UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

RONALD J. ALLISON,

Plaintiff,

v.

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, et al.

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00239-RFB-MDC

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 8) of the Honorable Maximiliano D. Couvillier, III, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on April 19, 2024. A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by May 3, 2024. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with all the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 8) is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is dismissed.
4	The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.
5	
6	DATED: May 14, 2024.
7	
8	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
۷٥	